

Gina Mouser

From: Joseph Moore
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 1:14 PM
To: 'vacrawford@msn.com'; Mike Burke
Cc: Karen Sides
Subject: RE: .2-mill transfer

Vern,

The impact to us would be a projected \$32 million reduction to our capital budget. It would reduce the non construction side since most of the construction side is tied up in debt service on COPS. It would cause delays in maintenance on schools and replacing aging buses and computers in schools. It would also make it extremely difficult to fund the property insurance from 2 mill funding next year. Yes, we favor the House's position on keeping the \$65/fte set-aside on insurance. I'll ask Mike to add anything I've missed. Joe

-----Original Message-----

From: vacrawford@msn.com [mailto:vacrawford@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2008 1:56 PM
To: Dave Gayler; Randy Acevedo; Dr. Rosalyne P. Wright; Harry La Cava; Dr. Sara Wilcox; Patricia G. Cooper; Dr. Michael Lannon; Joseph Moore
Subject: .2-mill transfer

Please advise your best guess or thought/s on district impact of the Senate's proposal to transfer .2 mills from capital to operating to give us reported flexibility. I've been asked by some House folk for input.

The other question is whether you favor the House's position of keeping the \$65/fte set-aside for insurance?

Lemme know please.
Vernon A. Pickup-Crawford
Schoolhouse Consulting Group, Inc.
(561) 644-2439 (ofc/cell)
vacrawford@msn.com

